Right-handed currents in two-body hadronic B decays

Soo-hyeon Nam

Supercomputing Center Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information

November 1, 2010

< High Energy Physics Seminar at KISTI >



< 3 >

Outline

Introduction

Effective Hamiltonian in the LRM

- Left-Right models
- Effective Hamiltonian for $\Delta B = 1$ and $\Delta S = 1$ transition

Two body hadronic B decays

- Factorization approximation for the matrix elements of the operators
- CP asymmetry in charged B meson decays
- CP asymmetry in neutral B meson decays
- Polarization fraction for $B \rightarrow V V$ modes

4 Summary



Outline

Introduction

Effective Hamiltonian in the LRM

- Left-Right models
- Effective Hamiltonian for $\Delta B = 1$ and $\Delta S = 1$ transition

Two body hadronic B decays

- Factorization approximation for the matrix elements of the operators
- CP asymmetry in charged B meson decays
- CP asymmetry in neutral B meson decays
- Polarization fraction for $B \rightarrow V V$ modes

Summary

Image: Second second

Introduction

Unitary triangle and CKM matrix

 In the SM, CP violation is expressed by CP angles γ and β which are the phases of the CKM matrix elements V_{ub} and V_{td} (relative to V_{cb}), respectively.



Unitary triangle ($\lambda_i = V_{id}^* V_{ib}$)

• CKM matrix expressed by the Wolfenstein parametrization:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\lambda^4)$$

Introduction

 \otimes The standard $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ model is being challenged because the consistency of the present experimental results with the general scheme of weak interactions and CP violation in the SM is nontrivial:

• Direct CP asymmetries in $B \rightarrow \pi K$:

 $\begin{array}{lll} A_{CP}(B^0 \to \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm}) &=& -0.11 \pm 0.02 \quad (\text{FPCP 2006}) \\ A_{CP}(B^{\pm} \to \pi^0 K^{\pm}) &=& 0.04 \pm 0.04 \quad (\text{FPCP 2006}) \end{array}$

Discrepancy between sin 2β_{J/ψKs} and sin 2β_{φKs}:

 $\begin{aligned} & \sin 2\beta_{J/\psi K_S} &= 0.69 \pm 0.03 \quad (\text{ICFP 2005}) \\ & \sin 2\beta_{\phi K_S} &= 0.47 \pm 0.19 \quad (\text{ICFP 2005}) \end{aligned}$

• Polarization fractions in $B \rightarrow \phi K^*$:

 $\Gamma_L / \Gamma(B \to \phi K^*) = 0.48 \pm 0.04$ (FPCP 2006) $\Gamma_\perp / \Gamma(B \to \phi K^*) = 0.26 \pm 0.04$ (FPCP 2006)

And more ...

Outline

Introduction

Effective Hamiltonian in the LRM

- Left-Right models
- Effective Hamiltonian for $\Delta B = 1$ and $\Delta S = 1$ transition

Two body hadronic B decays

- Factorization approximation for the matrix elements of the operators
- CP asymmetry in charged B meson decays
- CP asymmetry in neutral B meson decays
- Polarization fraction for $B \rightarrow V V$ modes

Summary

Left-Right models

* As one of the simplest extensions of the SM gauge group, we consider the left-right model (LRM) with group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ which has the following features:

• Covariant derivative for the fermions *f*_{L,R}:

$$D^{\mu}f_{L,R} = \partial^{\mu}f_{L,R} + ig_{L,R}W^{\mu a}_{L,R}T^{a}_{L,R}f_{L,R} + ig_{1}B^{\mu}Sf_{L,R}$$

• Higgs couplings induce $W_L - W_R$ mixing leading to mass eigenstates:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{W}^{+}\\ \mathbf{W}^{\prime+} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \cos\xi & e^{-i\alpha_{\circ}}\sin\xi\\ -\sin\xi & e^{-i\alpha_{\circ}}\cos\xi \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{W}^{+}_{L}\\ \mathbf{W}^{+}_{R} \end{array}\right)$$

where

$$\zeta_g \equiv rac{g_R^2 M_W^2}{g_L^2 M_{W'}^2} \geq \xi_g \equiv rac{g_R}{g_L} \xi$$

B > 4 B >

Left-Right models

 Lower bound on M_{W'} can be obtained from the limits on deviations of muon decay parameters:

 $\zeta_g < 0.033$ or $M_{W'} > (g_R/g_L) \times 440 \text{ GeV}$

(B. Balke et al., Phys. Rev. D 37 587 (1988))

 W' mass limit can be lowered to approximately 400 GeV by taking the following forms of V^R:

$$V_{l}^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega} & \sim 0 & \sim 0 \\ \sim 0 & c_{R}e^{i\alpha_{1}} & s_{R}e^{i\alpha_{2}} \\ \sim 0 & -s_{R}e^{i\alpha_{3}} & c_{R}e^{i\alpha_{4}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{ll}^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \sim 0 & e^{i\omega} & \sim 0 \\ c_{R}e^{i\alpha_{1}} & \sim 0 & s_{R}e^{i\alpha_{2}} \\ -s_{R}e^{i\alpha_{3}} & \sim 0 & c_{R}e^{i\alpha_{4}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $c_R(s_R) \equiv \cos \theta_R (\sin \theta_R) (0^\circ \le \theta_R \le 90^\circ)$.

(P. Langacker and S.U. Sanker, Phys. Rev. D 40 1569 (1989))

Following approximate bound can be obtained from the b → c semileptonic decays:

```
\xi_g \sin \theta_R \lesssim 0.013 for |V_{cb}^L| \approx 0.04
```

(M.B. Voloshin, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 12, 1823 (1997))

Effective Hamiltonian for $\Delta B = 1$ and $\Delta S = 1$ transition

• Effective Hamiltonian for $\Delta B = 1$ and $\Delta S = 1$ transition in the LRM:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,11,12\\q=u,c}} \lambda_q^{LL} C_i^q O_i^q - \lambda_t^{LL} \left(\sum_{i=3}^{10} C_i O_i + C_7^{\gamma} O_7^{\gamma} + C_8^G O_8^G \right) \right] + (C_i O_i \rightarrow C_i^{\prime} O_i^{\prime})$$

• Wilson Coefficients ($\mu = m_B$)

г

$$\begin{aligned} & C_1^q = -0.308, \qquad C_1^{q\prime} = C_1^q \zeta_g \lambda_q^{RR} / \lambda_q^{LL} \\ & C_2^q = 1.144, \qquad C_2^{q\prime} = C_2^q \zeta_g \lambda_q^{RR} / \lambda_q^{LL} \\ & C_3 = 0.014, \quad C_4 = -0.030, \qquad C_5 = 0.009, \quad C_6 = -0.038 \\ & C_7 = 0.045\alpha, \quad C_8 = 0.048\alpha, \qquad C_9 = -1.280\alpha, \quad C_{10} = 0.328\alpha \\ & C_7^{\gamma} = -0.317 - 0.546A^{lb}, \qquad C_7^{\gamma\prime} = -0.546A^{ls*} \\ & C_8^G = -0.150 - 0.241A^{lb}, \qquad C_8^{G\prime} = -0.241A^{ls*} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A^{tD} = \xi_g \frac{m_t}{m_b} \frac{V^R_{tD}}{V^L_{tD}} e^{i\alpha_{\odot}} (D = b, s)$$

< 3 >

00

п

Operators for $b \rightarrow s$ transition

$$\begin{array}{ll} O_1^{u} = \left(\tilde{s}_{\alpha} u_{\beta} \right)_{V-A} \left(\bar{u}_{\beta} b_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A} , & O_2^{u} = \left(\tilde{s}_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A} \left(\bar{u}_{\beta} b_{\beta} \right)_{V-A} \\ O_1^{c} = \left(\tilde{s}_{\alpha} c_{\beta} \right)_{V-A} \left(\bar{c}_{\beta} b_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A} , & O_2^{c} = \left(\tilde{s}_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A} \left(\bar{c}_{\beta} b_{\beta} \right)_{V-A} \end{array}$$

Current-Current

$$\begin{split} &O_3 = (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\alpha)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \sum_q \left(\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta \right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \,, \\ &O_5 = (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\alpha)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \sum_q \left(\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta \right)_{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{A}} \,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &O_4 = \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} b_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \sum_{q} \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \\ &O_6 = \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} b_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \sum_{q} \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{A}} \end{split}$$

$$O_{7} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\tilde{s}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A} \sum_{q} e_{q} \left(\tilde{q}_{\beta} q_{\beta} \right)_{V+A}, \qquad O_{8} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\tilde{s}_{\alpha} b_{\beta} \right)_{V-A} \sum_{q} e_{q} \left(\tilde{q}_{\beta} q_{\alpha} \right)_{V+A}$$
$$O_{9} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\tilde{s}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A} \sum_{q} e_{q} \left(\tilde{q}_{\beta} q_{\beta} \right)_{V-A}, \qquad O_{10} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\tilde{s}_{\alpha} b_{\beta} \right)_{V-A} \sum_{q} e_{q} \left(\tilde{q}_{\beta} q_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A}$$

Electroweak-Penquins

$$\mathcal{O}_7^{\gamma} = \frac{e}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s}_{\alpha} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (1+\gamma_5) b_{\alpha} F_{\mu\nu} \,, \qquad \mathcal{O}_8^G = \frac{g}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s}_{\alpha} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (1+\gamma_5) T^a_{\alpha\beta} b_{\beta} G^a_{\mu\nu} \,,$$

Left-Right Mixed Current-Current

$$\begin{aligned} & O_{11}^{\mu} = \frac{m_b}{m_u} \left(\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\alpha} u_{\beta} \right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \left(\bar{u}_{\beta} b_{\alpha} \right)_{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{A}}, \qquad \quad O_{12}^{\mu} = \frac{m_b}{m_u} \left(\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} \right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \left(\bar{u}_{\beta} b_{\beta} \right)_{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{A}}, \\ & O_{11}^{c} = \frac{m_b}{m_c} \left(\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\alpha} c_{\beta} \right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \left(\bar{c}_{\beta} b_{\alpha} \right)_{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{A}}, \qquad \quad O_{12}^{c} = \frac{m_b}{m_c} \left(\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \left(\bar{c}_{\beta} b_{\beta} \right)_{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{A}}, \end{aligned}$$

B N A B N

Effective Hamiltonian for $\Delta B = 1$ and $\Delta S = 1$ transition

 It is convenient to express the one-loop matrix elements of H_{eff} in terms of the tree-level matrix elements of the effective operators:

$$< sqar{q}|\mathcal{H}_{eff}|B> = -rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_t^{LL}\sum_{i=1}^{10}C_i^{eff} < sqar{q}|O_i|B>^{tree} + (C_iO_i
ightarrow C_i'O_i'),$$

with the effective WCs

$$\begin{split} c_{1}^{eff(\prime)} &= c_{1}^{(\prime)}, \qquad c_{2}^{eff(\prime)} = c_{2}^{(\prime)}, \qquad c_{3}^{eff(\prime)} = c_{3}^{(\prime)} - \frac{1}{N_{c}}c_{g}^{(\prime)}, \qquad c_{4}^{eff(\prime)} = c_{4}^{(\prime)} + c_{g}^{(\prime)} \\ c_{5}^{eff(\prime)} &= c_{3}^{(\prime)} - \frac{1}{N_{c}}c_{g}^{(\prime)}, \qquad c_{6}^{eff(\prime)} = c_{4}^{(\prime)} + c_{g}^{(\prime)}, \qquad c_{7}^{eff(\prime)} = c_{7}^{(\prime)} + c_{\gamma}^{(\prime)}, \qquad c_{8}^{eff(\prime)} = c_{8}^{(\prime)} + c_{\gamma}^{(\prime)} \end{split}$$

where

$$C_{g}^{(\prime)} = -\frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_{t}^{LL}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} C_{2}^{q(\prime)} \mathcal{I}(m_{q}, k, m_{b}) + 2C_{8}^{G(\prime)} \frac{m_{b}^{2}}{k^{2}} \right]$$

$$C_{\gamma}^{(\prime)} = -\frac{\alpha_{s}}{3\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_{t}^{LL}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} (C_{1}^{q(\prime)}) + \frac{1}{N_{c}} C_{2}^{q(\prime)}) \mathcal{I}(m_{q}, k, m_{b}) + C_{\gamma}^{\gamma(\prime)} \frac{m_{b}^{2}}{k^{2}} \right]$$

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{I}(m, k, \mu) = 4 \int_{0}^{1} dx(1-x) \ln \left[\frac{m^{2} - k^{2}x(1-x)}{\mu^{2}} \right]}_{\Longrightarrow \text{Two different CP even phases arise!}$$

A B K A B K

Outline

Introduction

- 2) Effective Hamiltonian in the LRM
 - Left-Right models
 - Effective Hamiltonian for $\Delta B = 1$ and $\Delta S = 1$ transition

Two body hadronic B decays

- Factorization approximation for the matrix elements of the operators
- CP asymmetry in charged B meson decays
- CP asymmetry in neutral B meson decays
- Polarization fraction for $B \rightarrow V V$ modes

Summary

Factorization approximation for the matrix elements of the operators

• Consider the matrix element of the operator O_6 for the process $B^- \to \phi K^{*-}$:

$$<\phi K^{*-} |O_6|B^-> = rac{1}{N_c} <\phi |ar{s}\gamma^{\mu}s|0> < K^{*-} |ar{s}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)b|B^-> + rac{2 <\phi K^{*-} |ar{s}(1+\gamma_5)u|0> < 0|ar{u}\gamma_5b|B^->}{2 <\phi K^{*-} |ar{s}(1+\gamma_5)u|0> < 0|ar{u}\gamma_5b|B^->}$$

annihilation contribution, usually neglected in FA

- "Annihilation contribution" to decay rates may be small (or may not, depending on specific decay modes), but could be important in *CP* asymmetry because it contains *strong* phases! ⇒ We need to reduce "hadronic uncertainty" before considering any "new physics".
- *CP* violating asymmetry originates from the superposition of *CP*-odd(violating) phases in CKM matrix and *CP*-even(conserving) phases.

CP asymmetry in charged B meson decays

 For charged B meson decays, CP violating asymmetry originates from the superposition of CP-odd(violating) phases and CP-even(conserving) phases.

$$\mathcal{A}_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(B^+ \to f^+) - \Gamma(B^- \to f^-)}{\Gamma(B^+ \to f^+) + \Gamma(B^- \to f^-)}$$

• *CP*-even phases arise from the absorptive part of the amplitudes.



CP asymmetry in charged B meson decays

• Matrix elements of $O_{1,2}^{(\prime)}$:

$$< O_1^{q(\prime)} >_{\text{peng}}^{\text{peng}} = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \mathcal{I}(m_q, k, m_b) < P_{\gamma}^{(\prime)} >$$

$$< O_2^{q(\prime)} >_{\text{peng}}^{\text{peng}} = \frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{8\pi} \mathcal{I}(m_q, k, m_b) \Big(< P_G^{(\prime)} > + \frac{8}{9} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_s(m_b)} < P_{\gamma}^{(\prime)} > \Big)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{G}^{(\prime)} &= O_{4}^{(\prime)} + O_{6}^{(\prime)} - \frac{1}{N_{c}}(O_{3}^{(\prime)} + O_{5}^{(\prime)}), \qquad \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^{(\prime)} = O_{7}^{(\prime)} + O_{9}^{(\prime)} \quad (N_{c} = 3) \\ \mathcal{I}(m, k, \mu) &= 4 \int_{0}^{1} dx x (1 - x) \ln \left[\frac{m^{2} - k^{2} x (1 - x)}{\mu^{2}}\right] \end{aligned}$$

• Matrix elements of $O_7^{\gamma(\prime)}$ and $O_8^{G(\prime)}$:

$$< O_7^{\gamma(\prime)} >^{\rm peng} = -\frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \frac{m_b^2}{k^2} < P_{\gamma}^{(\prime)} >, \qquad < O_8^{G(\prime)} >^{\rm peng} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{m_b^2}{k^2} < P_G^{(\prime)} >$$

where k^2 is expected to be typically in the range $m_b^2/4 \le k^2 \le m_b^2/2$. (A. Ali and C. Greub, Phys. Rev. D **57** 2996 (1998))

CP asymmetry in charged B meson decays

- We evaluate *CP* asymmetries in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi K^{(*)\pm}$ decays.
- Transition amplitude for $B^- \rightarrow \phi K^{(*)-}$ in the LRM using the naive factorization approximation (NFA):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \phi K^{(*)-})_{I} &\simeq \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left\{ -0.67 + 1.1e^{i\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}} + 0.02e^{i(\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}-\gamma)} + 10\xi_{\mathcal{G}}c_{R}e^{i\alpha_{4}} \right\} X_{-}^{(B^{-}K^{(*)-},\phi)} \\ &+ \left\{ 27\zeta_{\mathcal{G}}c_{R}s_{R}e^{i(\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}+\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3})} + 250\xi_{\mathcal{G}}s_{R}e^{-i\alpha_{3}} \right\} X_{+}^{(B^{-}K^{(*)-},\phi)} \right] \times 10^{-3} \\ &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[C_{tot} \cdot X_{-}^{(B^{-}K^{(*)-},\phi)} + C_{tot}' \cdot X_{+}^{(B^{-}K^{(*)-},\phi)} \right] \times 10^{-3} \\ \mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \phi K^{(*)-})_{II} \simeq \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} C_{tot} \cdot X_{-}^{(B^{-}K^{(*)-},\phi)} \times 10^{-3} \end{split}$$

where $\gamma = 60^{\circ}$, $(\varphi_u, \varphi_c) = (127^{\circ}, 149^{\circ})$ and

$$\begin{split} X_{-}^{(B^-\kappa^-,\phi)} &= X_{+}^{(B^-\kappa^-,\phi)} \equiv <\phi |\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}s|0> < \kappa^- |\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}b|B^->, \\ X_{\pm}^{(B^-\kappa^{*-},\phi)} \equiv <\phi |\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}s|0> < \kappa^{*-} |\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}(1\pm\gamma_5)b|B^-> \end{split}$$

(S.-h. Nam, Phys. Rev. D 68 115006 (2003))



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

CP asymmetry in charged B meson decays

• SM value of CP asymmetry:

 $A_{CP}^{SM}(B^{\pm}
ightarrow \phi K^{(*)\pm}) \simeq 0.01$

- Current data on the *CP* asymmetries in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi K^{(*)\pm}$ (FPCP 2006): $A_{CP}^{\text{expt}}(B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi K^{\pm}) = 0.037 \pm 0.05$, $A_{CP}^{\text{expt}}(B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi K^{*\pm}) = 0.05 \pm 0.11$
- Behavior of A_{CP} as $\alpha_{3,4}$ are varied:



Effective Hamiltonian for *B*B mixing

• Effective Hamiltonian in the *B*B̄ system is obtained from the box diagrams:



•
$$H_{eff}^{B\bar{B}} = H_{eff}^{SM} + H_{eff}^{RR} + H_{eff}^{LR}$$
:
 $H_{eff}^{SM} = \frac{G_F^2 M_W^2}{4\pi^2} (\lambda_t^{LL})^2 S(x_t^2) (\bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu b_L)^2$
 $H_{eff}^{LR} = \frac{G_F^2 M_W^2}{2\pi^2} \{ [\lambda_c^{LR} \lambda_t^{RL} x_c x_l \zeta_g A_1(x_t^2, \zeta) + \lambda_t^{LR} \lambda_t^{RL} x_t^2 \zeta_g A_2(x_t^2, \zeta)] (\bar{d}_L b_R) (\bar{d}_R b_L)$
 $+ \lambda_t^{LL} \lambda_t^{RL} x_b \xi_g^- [x_t^3 A_3(x_t^2) (\bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu b_L) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu b_R) + x_t A_4(x_t^2) (\bar{d}_L b_R) (\bar{d}_R b_L)] \}$

where $\xi_g^{\pm} \equiv e^{\pm \alpha_{\circ}} \xi_g$, $\lambda_i^{AB} \equiv V_{id}^{A*} V_{ib}^B$, $x_i \equiv m_i / M_W$ (i = u, c, t)

CP asymmetry in neutral B meson decays

 For neutral *B* mesons decays, *CP* asymmetry can be expressed by the parametrization invariant quantity λ:

$$\lambda \equiv -\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_{B} \frac{\mathcal{A}(\bar{B^{0}} \to \bar{f})}{\mathcal{A}(\bar{B^{0}} \to f)}, \qquad \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_{B} \simeq \frac{M_{12}^{*}}{|M_{12}|},$$

where the $B^0 \overline{B^0}$ mixing matrix element can be written as

$$M_{12} = M_{12}^{SM} + M_{12}^{LR} = M_{12}^{SM} \left(1 + r_{LR} \right), \quad r_{LR} = \frac{\langle \bar{B^0} | H_{eff}^{LR} | B^0 \rangle}{\langle \bar{B^0} | H_{eff}^{SM} | B^0 \rangle}$$

In the case of V^R_l, there is no significant contribution of H^{LR}_{eff}.

In the case of V^R_{II},

$$r_{LR} \approx I \Biggl\{ 17.3 I \Biggl(\frac{1 - \zeta_g - (4.92 - 19.7\zeta_g) \ln(1/\zeta_g)}{1 - 5.47\zeta_g} \Biggr) \zeta_g s_R^2 e^{i\delta_1} \\ - 796 \Biggl(\frac{1 - 5.02\zeta_g - (0.498 - 1.99\zeta_g) \ln(1/\zeta_g)}{1 - 9.94\zeta_g + 28.9\zeta_g^2} \Biggr) \zeta_g s_R c_R e^{i\delta_2} - 8.93\xi_g s_R e^{i\delta_3} \Biggr\}$$

where $I = 0.008 / |V_{td}^L|$, $\delta_1 = -2\beta + \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$, $\delta_2 = -\beta - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$, and $\delta_3 = -\beta - \alpha_3$. (S.-h. Nam, Phys. Rev. D **66** 055008 (2002))

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

CP asymmetry in neutral B meson decays

• In the SM, the *CP* angle β is simply the imaginary part of λ :

$$\sin 2\beta = \mathrm{Im}\lambda(B \to J/\psi K_S) \simeq \mathrm{Im}\lambda(B \to \phi K_S)$$

• $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ decay is governed by the tree-level contribution. In the LRM:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(B \to J/\psi K_s)_I &\simeq \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_c^{LL} \Big\{ 1 + 25 (c_R s_R \zeta_g e^{-i(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)} - 2 s_R \xi_g e^{-i\alpha_2}) \Big\} X^{(BK_s, J/\psi)} \\ \mathcal{A}(B \to J/\psi K_s)_{II} &\simeq \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_c^{LL} \Big\{ 1 - 50 s_R \xi_g e^{-i\alpha_2} \Big\} X^{(BK_s, J/\psi)} \end{split}$$

where $X^{(BK_S,J/\psi)} \equiv < J/\psi |\bar{c}\gamma_\mu c| 0 > < K_s |\bar{s}\gamma^\mu b| B^\circ >$

 The transition amplitude in B → φK_S decays can be simply obtained from the charged mode by replacing the hadronic matrix element X^(B⁻K⁻,φ) → X^(BK_S,φ)

CP asymmetry in neutral B meson decays

* Plots of the *CP* asymmetry difference $\Delta_{CP} \equiv \text{Im}\lambda(B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S) - \text{Im}\lambda(B \rightarrow \phi K_S)$:



(**15**1)

Polarization fraction for $B \rightarrow V V$ modes

• Polarization fraction for the ϕK^* channel in the SM (NFA):

$$\frac{\Gamma_{L}}{\Gamma} = \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{L}|^{2}}{|\mathcal{A}_{L}|^{2} + |\mathcal{A}_{\perp}|^{2} + |\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}|^{2}} = 1 - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m_{b}^{2}}\right), \qquad \frac{\Gamma_{\perp}}{\Gamma_{\parallel}} = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m_{b}}\right)$$

Longitudinal polarization fraction for the \(\phi K^*\) channel in the LRM (NFA):

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma_L}{\Gamma}\right)_{\rm LRM} \simeq \frac{|\mathcal{A}_L^{\rm SM}|^2 (1-r')^2}{|\mathcal{A}_L^{\rm SM}|^2 (1-r')^2 + |\mathcal{A}_{\perp}^{\rm SM}|^2 (1-r')^2 + |\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}^{\rm SM}|^2 (1+r')^2}, \quad r' \equiv \frac{C_{tot}'}{C_{tot}}$$

- \Rightarrow cannot satisfy $\Gamma_L^{LRM}/\Gamma\approx 0.5$ and $\Gamma_\perp^{LRM}\approx \Gamma_\parallel^{LRM}$ simultaneously.
- In fact, the NFA fails in some hadronic decay modes. For example,

 $<\phi K^{*-} |O_{6}|B^{-}> = \frac{1}{N_{c}} <\phi |\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}s|0> < K^{*-} |\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})b|B^{-}> + 2 <\phi K^{*-} |\bar{s}(1+\gamma_{5})u|0> <0|\bar{u}\gamma_{5}b|B^{-}> + 2 <\phi$

annihilation contribution is not negligible!

Polarization fraction for $B \rightarrow V V$ modes

• The decay $B \rightarrow V_1 V_2$ is described by the amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}(B \to V_1 V_2) = \mathcal{A}_0 \,\varepsilon_1^* \cdot \varepsilon_2^* + \mathcal{A}_1 \,(\varepsilon_1^* \cdot p_2)(\varepsilon_2^* \cdot p_1) + i\mathcal{A}_2 \,\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \varepsilon_{1\alpha}^* \varepsilon_{2\beta}^* p_{1\gamma} p_{2\delta}$$

The three helicity amplitudes can be rewritten in the transversity basis as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_L &= -x\mathcal{A}_0 - m_1 m_2 (x^2 - 1)\mathcal{A}_1, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\parallel} = -\sqrt{2}\mathcal{A}_0 \\ \mathcal{A}_{\perp} &= -\sqrt{2}m_1 m_2 \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\mathcal{A}_2, \qquad x \equiv \frac{p_1 \cdot p_2}{m_1 m_2} \end{aligned}$$

In the LRM ,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(B \to V_1 V_2) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_q^{LL} \left[C'_{\pm} X_{\pm}^{(BV_1, V_2)} + C_{\pm}^A X_{\pm}^{(B, V_1 V_2)} \right] \\ &\Rightarrow |\mathcal{A}(B \to V_1 V_2)|^2 = |\mathcal{A}_L|^2 + |\mathcal{A}_{\pm}|^2 + |\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}|^2 \end{split}$$

ヨト・モト

Polarization fraction for $B \rightarrow V V$ modes

In the helicity basis,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{0} &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} \left[t_{2}m_{2}(m_{B}+m_{1}) \left(C_{-}^{I} - C_{+}^{I} \right) A_{1}(m_{2}^{2}) - t_{B}m_{B}^{2} \left(C_{-}^{A} + C_{+}^{A} \right) V_{1}(m_{B}^{2}) \right] \\ \mathcal{A}_{1} &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} \left[-\frac{2t_{2}m_{2}}{m_{B}+m_{1}} \left(C_{-}^{I} - C_{+}^{I} \right) A_{2}(m_{2}^{2}) + t_{B} \left(C_{-}^{A} + C_{+}^{A} \right) V_{2}(m_{B}^{2}) \right] \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} \left[-\frac{2t_{2}m_{2}}{m_{B}+m_{1}} \left(C_{-}^{I} + C_{+}^{I} \right) V(m_{2}^{2}) + t_{B} \left(C_{-}^{A} - C_{+}^{A} \right) A(m_{B}^{2}) \right] \\ &= P_{i} Bit_{-} handed contribution can enhance A and A \end{aligned}$$

 \Rightarrow Right-handed contribution can enhance A_{\perp} and A_{\parallel} .

• Illustration of the behavior of Γ_L/Γ for the ϕK^* channel by varying θ_R :



Outline

Introduction

Effective Hamiltonian in the LRM

- Left-Right models
- Effective Hamiltonian for $\Delta B = 1$ and $\Delta S = 1$ transition

Two body hadronic B decays

- Factorization approximation for the matrix elements of the operators
- CP asymmetry in charged B meson decays
- CP asymmetry in neutral B meson decays
- Polarization fraction for B → V V modes

Summary

B 1 4 B 1

Summary

- In the LRM, the W' contributions to B⁰B⁰ mixing and CP asymmetry in B⁰ decays are highly dependent upon the phases in the mass mixing matrix V^{L,R}.
- If CP asymmetries in B[±] → φK^{(*)±} decays are large or different from each other, V^R_l is more probable than V^R_{ll}.
- If there is a large discrepancy between sin2β_{J/ψKs} and sin2β_{φKs}, the manifest LRM is disfavored.
- The current experimental result of the polarization fraction for the ϕK^* channel can be explained in the LRM only if the annihilation contributions are included \rightarrow must be explained simultaneously with other decay modes such as ρK^* (in progress).

