

# B Phenomenology in general left-right models

**Soo-hyeon Nam**

Korea Institute for Advanced Study

March 18, 2009

*High Energy Physics Seminar at KISTI*

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
  - Motivation
  - Left-Right models
- 2 Semi-leptonic Decays
  - Semi-leptonic  $b \rightarrow c$  transitions
  - Semi-leptonic  $b \rightarrow u$  transitions
  - Leptonic  $b \rightarrow u$  transitions
- 3 Non-leptonic Decays
  - $b \rightarrow s\gamma$
  - $B\bar{B}$  mixing
  - $\sin 2\beta$  in LRM
  - Polarization fraction for  $B \rightarrow V V$  modes
- 4 Summary

# Motivation

## ⊗ Why $B$ physics?

- Hadronic dynamics can be simplified by virtue of the heavy quark expansion since  $m_b \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ .
- Hadronic uncertainties can be eliminated or they cancel in appropriate observable quantities such as  $CP$  violating asymmetry.
- **Large  $CP$ -violating asymmetries** have been found especially in some channels with small branching ratios.  
→ Demands high statistics experiments with  $B$  mesons.
- And more ...

## Motivation

- ⊗ The standard  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$  model is being challenged because the consistency of the present experimental results with the general scheme of weak interactions and CP violation in the SM is nontrivial:
  - In the SM, CP violation in mixing induced B meson decays can be expressed by CP angle  $\beta$  which is the phase of the CKM matrix elements  $V_{td}$  (relative to  $V_{cb}$ ):

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\lambda^4),$$

⇔ Current data on  $\sin 2\beta$ :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sin 2\beta_{J/\psi K} &= 0.657 \pm 0.025 && \text{(HFAG08)} \\ \sin 2\beta_{\phi K} &= 0.44^{+0.17}_{-0.18} && \text{(HFAG08)} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{puzzle?}$$

## Motivation

- Polarization fraction for the  $\phi K^*$  channel in the SM (naive factorization):

$$\frac{\Gamma_L}{\Gamma} = \frac{|\mathcal{A}_L|^2}{|\mathcal{A}_L|^2 + |\mathcal{A}_\perp|^2 + |\mathcal{A}_\parallel|^2} = 1 - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m_b^2}\right), \quad \frac{\Gamma_\perp}{\Gamma} = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m_b}\right)$$

⇔ Current measurements of the polarization fractions for the  $\phi K^{*+}$  channel (HFAG08):

$$\frac{\Gamma_L}{\Gamma} = 0.50 \pm 0.05, \quad \frac{\Gamma_\perp}{\Gamma} = 0.20 \pm 0.05$$

⇒ another puzzle?

- And more ...

## Left-Right models

\* General left-right model (LRM) with group  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$  has the following features:

- Covariant derivative for the fermions  $f_{L,R}$ :

$$D^\mu f_{L,R} = \partial^\mu f_{L,R} + ig_{L,R} W_{L,R}^{\mu a} T_{L,R}^a f_{L,R} + ig_1 B^\mu S f_{L,R}$$

- Unbroken  $U(1)$  (Electric Charge):

$$Q = T_L^3 + T_R^3 + S$$

- Quark & Lepton fields ( $T_L, T_R, S$ ):

$$q'_L = \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ d' \end{pmatrix}_L \sim \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{6}\right), \quad q'_R = \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ d' \end{pmatrix}_R \sim \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}\right),$$
$$l'_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu' \\ e' \end{pmatrix}_L \sim \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad l'_R = \begin{pmatrix} \nu' \\ e' \end{pmatrix}_R \sim \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

- Higgs VEVs (simplest case):

$$\langle \Phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \chi_L \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \chi_R \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_R \end{pmatrix}$$

## Left-Right models

- Higgs couplings induce  $W_L - W_R$  mixing leading to mass eigenstates:

$$\begin{pmatrix} W^+ \\ W'^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \xi & e^{-i\alpha_0} \sin \xi \\ -\sin \xi & e^{-i\alpha_0} \cos \xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_L^+ \\ W_R^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\zeta_g \equiv \frac{g_R^2 M_W^2}{g_L^2 M_{W'}^2} \geq \xi_g \equiv \frac{g_R}{g_L} \xi$$

- Charged interaction Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{CC} = & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{P} \gamma^\mu \left\{ [V^L g_L c_\xi L + V^R g_R s_\xi^+ R] W_\mu^+ + [-V^L g_L s_\xi L + V^R g_R c_\xi^+ R] W_\mu'^+ \right. \\ & + [(V^L M_P g_L c_\xi - V^R M_N g_R s_\xi^+) L + (-V^L M_N g_L c_\xi + V^R M_P g_R s_\xi^+) R] \frac{\varphi_\mu^+}{M_W} \\ & \left. + [-(V^L M_P g_L s_\xi + V^R M_N g_R c_\xi^+) L + (V^L M_N g_L s_\xi + V^R M_P g_R c_\xi^+) R] \frac{\varphi_\mu'^+}{M_{W'}} \right\} N \\ & + H.C. + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

## Left-Right models

- Lower bound on  $M_{W'}$  can be obtained from the limits on deviations of muon decay parameters:

$$\zeta_g < 0.034 \quad \text{or} \quad M_{W'} > (g_R/g_L) \times 440 \text{ GeV}$$

(C.A. Gagliardi, R.E. Tribble, and N.J. Williams, Phys. Rev. D **72** 073002 (2005))

- $W'$  mass limit can be lowered to approximately 400 GeV by taking the following forms of  $V^R$  ( $\Delta M_K$  yields no severe constraint on  $M_{W'}$ ):

$$V_I^R = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_R e^{i\alpha_1} & s_R e^{i\alpha_2} \\ 0 & -s_R e^{i\alpha_3} & c_R e^{i\alpha_4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{II}^R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\omega} & 0 \\ c_R e^{i\alpha_1} & 0 & s_R e^{i\alpha_2} \\ -s_R e^{i\alpha_3} & 0 & c_R e^{i\alpha_4} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $c_R$  ( $s_R$ )  $\equiv \cos \theta_R$  ( $\sin \theta_R$ ) ( $0^\circ \leq \theta_R \leq 90^\circ$ ).

(P. Langacker and S.U. Sanker, Phys. Rev. D **40** 1569 (1989))



## Semi-leptonic $b \rightarrow c$ transitions

- Four-Fermion interaction for  $b \rightarrow c$  semileptonic decays:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = 2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{qb}^L [(\bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu b_L) + \xi_q(\bar{q}_R \gamma_\mu b_R)] (\bar{\ell}_L \gamma_\mu \nu_L),$$

where  $\xi_q \equiv \xi(g_R V_{qb}^R)/(g_L V_{qb}^L)$  and  $q = u, c$ .

- Total decay rate of  $B \rightarrow l\nu X_c$ :

$$\Gamma(b \rightarrow cl\nu) \simeq \frac{G_F^2 m_b^5 |V_{cb}^L|^2}{192\pi^3} \left[ (1 + r_c^2 \xi_g^2) f(x) - r_c \xi_g h(x) \right]$$

where  $x \equiv m_c/m_b$ ,  $f(x) \sim 1 + O(x^2)$ , and  $h(x) \sim 4x + O(x^3)$ .

- Following approximate bound can be obtained by the comparison of  $|V_{cb}|_{incl}$  and  $|V_{cb}|_{excl}$ :

$$\xi_c \approx 0.14 \pm 0.18$$

(M.B. Voloshin, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **12**, 1823 (1997))

## Semi-leptonic $b \rightarrow u$ transitions

- $|V_{ub}^R|$  could be as large as  $\lambda$  for the following types of  $V^R$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(T.G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D **58** 114014 (1998))

- In the LRM,

$$|V_{ub}|_{incl} \simeq |V_{ub}| + O(\xi_g^2) \sim O(\lambda^4) \quad (\lambda \simeq 0.22)$$

- Current HFAG averages<sup>1</sup> of the selected theoretical methods for  $|V_{ub}|_{incl}$ :

$$|V_{ub}|_{incl} \times 10^3 = \begin{cases} 4.48 \pm 0.16 \begin{matrix} +0.25 \\ -0.26 \end{matrix} & \text{(DGE)} \\ 3.78 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.24 & \text{(SGR)} \\ 4.09 \pm 0.20 & \text{(Our average)} \end{cases},$$

<sup>1</sup> June, 2008

## Semi-leptonic $b \rightarrow u$ transitions

- Recently obtained value of  $|V_{ub}|_{excl}$  from  $B \rightarrow \pi e \nu$  is smaller than those of  $|V_{ub}|_{incl}$ :

$$|V_{ub}|_{excl}^{LCSR} = (3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$$

- In the LRM, we can roughly estimate the mixing parameter  $\xi_u$  from the mismatch between the values of  $|V_{ub}|_{incl}$  and  $|V_{ub}|_{excl}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{ub}|_{excl}^{\pi e \nu} &= |V_{ub}^L| |1 + \xi_u| \simeq |V_{ub}|_{incl} |1 + \xi_u| \\ \Rightarrow \text{Re}(\xi_u) &= -(0.14 \pm 0.12) \end{aligned}$$

- For  $\text{Re}(\xi_u) = -0.14$ , the branching fraction for semileptonic  $B \rightarrow \rho l \nu$  decays can be enhanced by 17% while the branching fraction for radiative leptonic  $B \rightarrow \gamma l \nu$  decays can be reduced by 18%.

(C.-H. Chen and S.-h. Nam, Phys. Lett. B666, 462 (2008))

Leptonic  $b \rightarrow u$  transitions

- Recently, the BELLE and BABAR collaborations have found evidence for the purely leptonic  $B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$  decays:

$$Br(B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau) = \begin{cases} (1.79^{+0.56+0.46}_{-0.49-0.51}) \times 10^{-4} & \text{(BELLE)} \\ (1.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4} & \text{(BABAR)} \end{cases}$$

- The BABAR result is an average of two results,  $(0.9 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$  and  $(1.8^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ , and the latter one is newer.
- Our estimate of the branching fraction is:

$$\begin{aligned} Br(B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau) &= Br(B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau)^{SM} |1 - \xi_u|^2 \\ &= (1.38 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-4} |1 - \xi_u|^2 \\ &= (1.78 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-4} \end{aligned}$$

$\implies$  agrees very well with the BELLE result and the new BABAR result, but not with the old BABAR result.

## Non-leptonic $b \rightarrow s$ transition

- Effective Hamiltonian for  $\Delta B = 1$  and  $\Delta S = 1$  transition in the LRM:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,11,12 \\ q=U,C}} \lambda_q^{LL} C_i^q O_i^q - \lambda_t^{LL} \left( \sum_{i=3}^{10} C_i O_i + C_7^\gamma O_7^\gamma + C_8^G O_8^G \right) \right] \\ + (C_i O_i \rightarrow C_i' O_i')$$

- Wilson Coefficients ( $\mu = 1.5$  GeV):

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^q &= -0.443, & C_1^{q'} &= C_1^q \zeta_g \lambda_q^{RR} / \lambda_q^{LL} \\ C_2^q &= 1.224, & C_2^{q'} &= C_2^q \zeta_g \lambda_q^{RR} / \lambda_q^{LL} \\ C_3 &= 0.023, & C_4 &= -0.045, & C_5 &= 0.012, & C_6 &= -0.064 \\ C_7 &= 0.008\alpha, & C_8 &= 0.064\alpha, & C_9 &= -1.403\alpha, & C_{10} &= 0.482\alpha \\ C_7^\gamma &= -0.385 - 17.07A^{tb}, & C_7^{\gamma'} &= -17.07A^{ts*} \\ C_8^G &= -0.175 - 7.506A^{tb}, & C_8^{G'} &= -7.506A^{ts*} \\ C_{11}^{U'} &= 0.623A^{US*}, & C_{12}^{U'} &= 0.881A^{US*}. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_q^{AB} \equiv V_{qs}^{A*} V_{qb}^B, \quad A^{tD} = \xi_g \frac{V_{tD}^R}{V_{tD}^L} e^{i\alpha_0} \quad (D = b, s)$$

## Operators for non-leptonic $b \rightarrow s$ transition

- Current-Current

$$O_1^U = (\bar{s}_\alpha u_\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{u}_\beta b_\alpha)_{V-A}, \quad O_2^U = (\bar{s}_\alpha u_\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{u}_\beta b_\beta)_{V-A}$$

$$O_1^C = (\bar{s}_\alpha c_\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{c}_\beta b_\alpha)_{V-A}, \quad O_2^C = (\bar{s}_\alpha c_\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{c}_\beta b_\beta)_{V-A}$$

- QCD-Penguins

$$O_3 = (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V-A}, \quad O_4 = (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V-A}$$

$$O_5 = (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V+A}, \quad O_6 = (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V+A}$$

- Electroweak-Penguins

$$O_7 = \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_q e_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V+A}, \quad O_8 = \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_q e_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V+A}$$

$$O_9 = \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_q e_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V-A}, \quad O_{10} = \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_q e_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V-A}$$

- Magnetic-Penguins

$$O_7^{\tilde{\gamma}} = \frac{e}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s}_\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) b_\alpha F_{\mu\nu}, \quad O_8^{\tilde{G}} = \frac{g}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s}_\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) T_{\alpha\beta}^a b_\beta G_{\mu\nu}^a$$

- Left-Right Mixed Current-Current


$$O_{11}^U = (\bar{s}_\alpha u_\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{u}_\beta b_\alpha)_{V+A}, \quad O_{12}^U = (\bar{s}_\alpha u_\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{u}_\beta b_\beta)_{V+A}$$

$$O_{11}^C = (\bar{s}_\alpha c_\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{c}_\beta b_\alpha)_{V+A}, \quad O_{12}^C = (\bar{s}_\alpha c_\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{c}_\beta b_\beta)_{V+A}$$


- The operator basis is doubled by  $O_i'$  which are the chiral conjugates of  $O_i$ .

# $b \rightarrow s\gamma$

- Recent measurements of the  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  decay rate have indirectly limited new physics contributions to a few percent.
- Contour plot corresponding to  $|\delta_{LRM}^{S\gamma}| \leq 0.1$  for  $\sin \theta_R = 0.04$  (solid line), 0.24 (dashed line), and 0.75 (dotted line) respectively, where  $\delta_{LRM}^{S\gamma} = (|C_7^\gamma|_{LRM}^2 - |C_7^\gamma|_{SM}^2) / |C_7^\gamma|_{SM}^2$ . The left region of the contour is allowed:




Type I ( $V_l^R$ )



Type II ( $V_{II}^R$ )

## $B\bar{B}$ mixing

- Effective Hamiltonian in the  $B\bar{B}$  system is obtained from the box diagrams:



$$H_{eff}^{B\bar{B}} = H_{eff}^{SM} + H_{eff}^{RR} + H_{eff}^{LR} :$$

$$H_{eff}^{SM} = \frac{G_F^2 M_W^2}{4\pi^2} (\lambda_t^{LL})^2 S(x_t^2) (\bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu b_L)^2$$

$$H_{eff}^{LR} = \frac{G_F^2 M_W^2}{2\pi^2} \{ [\lambda_c^{LR} \lambda_t^{RL} x_c x_t \zeta_g A_1(x_t^2, \zeta) + \lambda_t^{LR} \lambda_t^{RL} x_t^2 \zeta_g A_2(x_t^2, \zeta)] (\bar{d}_L b_R) (\bar{d}_R b_L) \\ + \lambda_t^{LL} \lambda_t^{RL} x_b \xi_g^- [x_t^3 A_3(x_t^2) (\bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu b_L) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu b_R) + x_t A_4(x_t^2) (\bar{d}_L b_R) (\bar{d}_R b_L)] \}$$

where  $\xi_g^\pm \equiv e^{\pm\alpha} \xi_g$ , and  $x_i \equiv m_i/M_W$  ( $i = u, c, t$ )

- The  $B^0\bar{B}^0$  mixing matrix element in the LRM can be written as

$$M_{12} = M_{12}^{SM} + M_{12}^{LR} = M_{12}^{SM} (1 + r_{LR}^q), \quad r_{LR}^q = \frac{\langle \bar{B}_q^0 | H_{eff}^{LR} | B_q^0 \rangle}{\langle \bar{B}_q^0 | H_{eff}^{SM} | B_q^0 \rangle}$$



## $B\bar{B}$ mixing

- In the case of  $V_{iR}^R, r_{LR}^d \sim 0$  and

$$r_{LR}^s \approx \left\{ -2.77 \left( \frac{1 - \zeta_g - (4.92 - 19.7\zeta_g) \ln(1/\zeta_g)}{1 - 5.47\zeta_g} \right) \zeta_g s_{\bar{R}}^2 e^{i(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} \right. \\
 + 153 \left( \frac{1 - 5.02\zeta_g - (0.498 - 1.99\zeta_g) \ln(1/\zeta_g)}{1 - 9.94\zeta_g + 28.9\zeta_g^2} \right) \zeta_g s_R c_R e^{i(-\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \\
 \left. + 1.72\zeta_g s_R e^{-i\alpha_3} \right\}$$



- In the case of  $V_{iR}^R, r_{LR}^s \sim 0$  and

$$r_{LR}^d \approx \left\{ 16.9 \left( \frac{1 - \zeta_g - (4.92 - 19.7\zeta_g) \ln(1/\zeta_g)}{1 - 5.47\zeta_g} \right) \zeta_g s_{\bar{R}}^2 e^{i(-2\beta + \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} \right. \\
 - 783 \left( \frac{1 - 5.02\zeta_g - (0.498 - 1.99\zeta_g) \ln(1/\zeta_g)}{1 - 9.94\zeta_g + 28.9\zeta_g^2} \right) \zeta_g s_R c_R e^{i(-\beta - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \\
 \left. - 8.78\zeta_g s_R e^{i(-\beta - \alpha_3)} \right\}$$

(S.-h. Nam, Phys. Rev. D **66** 055008 (2002))

# $B\bar{B}$ mixing

- Contour plot corresponding to  $0.7 < |1 + r_{LR}| < 1.3$   
 for  $\zeta_g = 2\xi_g$  and  $\alpha_{2,3,4} = 120^\circ$ :



## $\sin 2\beta$ in LRM

- For neutral  $B$  mesons decays,  $CP$  asymmetry can be expressed by the parametrization invariant quantity  $\lambda$ :

$$\lambda \equiv - \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)_B \frac{\mathcal{A}(B^{\bar{0}} \rightarrow \bar{f})}{\mathcal{A}(B^0 \rightarrow f)}, \quad \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)_B \simeq \frac{M_{12}^*}{|M_{12}|},$$

- In the SM, the  $CP$  angle  $\beta$  is simply the imaginary part of  $\lambda$ :

$$\sin 2\beta = \text{Im}\lambda(B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S) \simeq \text{Im}\lambda(B \rightarrow \phi K_S)$$

- $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$  decay is governed by the tree-level contribution. In the LRM:

$$\mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S)_I \simeq \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_c^{LL} \left\{ 1 + 25(c_{RR} s_{R\xi} g e^{-i(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)} - 2s_{RR} \xi g e^{-i\alpha_2}) \right\} X^{(BK_S, J/\psi)}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S)_{II} \simeq \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_c^{LL} \left\{ 1 - 50s_{RR} \xi g e^{-i\alpha_2} \right\} X^{(BK_S, J/\psi)}$$

where  $X^{(BK_S, J/\psi)} \equiv \langle J/\psi | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu c | 0 \rangle \langle K_S | \bar{s} \gamma^\mu b | B^0 \rangle$

(S.-h. Nam, Phys. Rev. D **68** 115006 (2003))

## $\sin 2\beta$ in LRM

- Transition amplitude for  $B \rightarrow \phi K$  in the LRM:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow \phi K)_I \simeq & -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \left\{ 1.77 - 0.027e^{-i(\gamma+\varphi)} + 16.2\zeta_g c_R s_R e^{i(\alpha_4-\alpha_3)} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 13.1\xi_g(c_R e^{i\alpha_4} + 24.0s_R e^{-i\alpha_3}) \right\} 10^{-3} \chi^{(BK,\phi)} \right. \\ & \left. + \left\{ 3.32 - 0.031e^{-i(\gamma+\varphi)} + 18.9\zeta_g c_R s_R e^{i(\alpha_4-\alpha_3)} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 16.4\xi_g(c_R e^{i\alpha_4} + 24.7s_R e^{-i\alpha_3}) \right\} 10^{-1} \chi^{(B,K\phi)} \right] \end{aligned}$$





$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow \phi K)_{II} \simeq & -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \left\{ 1.77 - 0.027e^{-i(\gamma+\varphi)} - 13.1\xi_g c_R e^{i\alpha_4} \right\} 10^{-3} \chi^{(BK,\phi)} \right. \\ & \left. + \left\{ 3.32 - 0.031e^{-i(\gamma+\varphi)} - 16.4\xi_g c_R e^{i\alpha_4} \right\} 10^{-1} \chi^{(B,K\phi)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma = 60^\circ$ ,  $\varphi=87^\circ$  (CP-even phase), and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(BK,\phi)} & \equiv \langle \phi | \bar{s}\gamma_\mu s | 0 \rangle \langle K | \bar{s}\gamma^\mu b | B \rangle, \\ \chi^{(B,K\phi)} & \equiv \pm \langle K\phi | \bar{s}\gamma_\mu (1(\pm\gamma_5)) d | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{d}\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 b | B \rangle \end{aligned}$$

## $\sin 2\beta$ in LRM

\* Plots of the  $CP$  asymmetry difference  $\Delta_{CP} \equiv \text{Im}\lambda(B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S) - \text{Im}\lambda(B \rightarrow \phi K_S)$  :



## Polarization fraction for $B \rightarrow V V$ modes

- The decay  $B \rightarrow V_1 V_2$  is described by the amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow V_1 V_2) = \mathcal{A}_0 \varepsilon_1^* \cdot \varepsilon_2^* + \mathcal{A}_1 (\varepsilon_1^* \cdot p_2)(\varepsilon_2^* \cdot p_1) + i\mathcal{A}_2 \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \varepsilon_{1\alpha}^* \varepsilon_{2\beta}^* p_{1\gamma} p_{2\delta}$$

- The three helicity amplitudes can be rewritten in the transversity basis as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_L &= -x\mathcal{A}_0 - m_1 m_2 (x^2 - 1)\mathcal{A}_1, & \mathcal{A}_{\parallel} &= -\sqrt{2}\mathcal{A}_0 \\ \mathcal{A}_{\perp} &= -\sqrt{2}m_1 m_2 \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\mathcal{A}_2, & x &\equiv \frac{p_1 \cdot p_2}{m_1 m_2} \end{aligned}$$

- In the LRM ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow V_1 V_2) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_q^{LL} \left[ C_{\pm}^I X_{\pm}^{(BV_1, V_2)} + C_{\pm}^A X_{\pm}^{(B, V_1 V_2)} \right] \\ \Rightarrow |\mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow V_1 V_2)|^2 &= |\mathcal{A}_L|^2 + |\mathcal{A}_{\perp}|^2 + |\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}|^2 \end{aligned}$$


## Polarization Fraction for the $\phi K^*$ channel

- In the helicity basis,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A}_0 &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_q^{LL} \left[ f_2 m_2 (m_B + m_1) (c_-^I - c_+^I) A_1(m_2^2) - f_B m_B^2 (c_-^A + c_+^A) V_1(m_B^2) \right] \\
 \mathcal{A}_1 &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_q^{LL} \left[ -\frac{2f_2 m_2}{m_B + m_1} (c_-^I - c_+^I) A_2(m_2^2) + f_B (c_-^A + c_+^A) V_2(m_B^2) \right] \\
 \mathcal{A}_2 &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_q^{LL} \left[ -\frac{2f_2 m_2}{m_B + m_1} (c_-^I + c_+^I) V(m_2^2) + f_B (c_-^A - c_+^A) A(m_B^2) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Right-handed contribution can enhance  $\mathcal{A}_\perp$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\parallel$ .

- Illustration of the behavior of  $\Gamma_L/\Gamma$  for the  $\phi K^*$  channel by varying  $\theta_R$ :



# Summary

- In the LRM, the  $W'$  contributions to  $B^0\bar{B}^0$  mixing and  $CP$  asymmetry in  $B^0$  decays are highly dependent upon the phases in the mass mixing matrices  $V^{L,R}$ .
- Admixture of a right-handed  $b \rightarrow c(u)$  current could give a significantly different contributions to the inclusive and exclusive rates of the semileptonic decays of the  $B$  mesons.
- In hadronic  $B$  decays, different  $CP$  even phases arise from the annihilation contributions as well as the loop corrections of the current-current operators.
- The mixing angle  $\xi_g$  receives strong constraint from  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  especially in the manifest LRM.
- Right-handed currents cannot significantly contribute to  $\Delta M_{B_d}$  and  $\Delta M_{B_s}$  simultaneously.
- If there is a large discrepancy between  $\sin 2\beta_{J/\psi K}$  and  $\sin 2\beta_{\phi K}$ , the manifest LRM is disfavored.
- The current experimental result of the polarization fraction for the  $\phi K^*$  channel can possibly be explained in the LRM only if the annihilation contributions are included (in progress).