Non-leptonic two-body B decays

Soo-hyeon Nam

Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information

August 24, 2009

< Tevatron B physics workshop at KISTI >



Outline

Effective Hamiltonian

- Operators
- Wilson Coefficients

Two body hadronic B decays

- Factorization approximation
- Form Factors
- Matrix Elements





- The low-energy effects of the full theory can be described by the effective Hamiltonian approach in order to include QCD effects systematically.
- The low-energy effective Hamiltonian calculated within the framework of the operator product expansion (OPE) has a finite number of operators in a given order, which is dependent upon the structure of the model.
- Effective Hamiltonian for ΔB = 1 and ΔS = 1 transition with right-handed currents:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,11,12\\q=u,c}} \lambda_q^{LL} C_i^q O_i^q - \lambda_t^{LL} \left(\sum_{i=3}^{10} C_i O_i + C_7^{\gamma} O_7^{\gamma} + C_8^G O_8^G \right) \right] + (C_i O_i \rightarrow C_i' O_i')$$



Operators

Current-Current

$$\begin{array}{ll} O_1^u = \left(\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{\alpha} u_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \left(\bar{u}_{\beta} b_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}, & \quad O_2^u = \left(\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \left(\bar{u}_{\beta} b_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \\ O_1^c = \left(\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{\alpha} c_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \left(\bar{c}_{\beta} b_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}, & \quad O_2^c = \left(\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \left(\bar{c}_{\beta} b_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \end{array}$$

QCD-Penguins

$$\begin{aligned} O_3 &= \left(\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \sum_{q} \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}, & O_4 &= \left(\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{\alpha} b_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \sum_{q} \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \\ O_5 &= \left(\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \sum_{q} \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{A}}, & O_6 &= \left(\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{\alpha} b_{\beta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \sum_{q} \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{A}} \end{aligned}$$

Electroweak-Penguins

$$\begin{split} O_7 &= \frac{3}{2} \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A} \sum_{q} e_q \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\beta} \right)_{V+A}, \qquad O_8 &= \frac{3}{2} \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} b_{\beta} \right)_{V-A} \sum_{q} e_q \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\alpha} \right)_{V+A} \\ O_9 &= \frac{3}{2} \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A} \sum_{q} e_q \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\beta} \right)_{V-A}, \qquad O_{10} &= \frac{3}{2} \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} b_{\beta} \right)_{V-A} \sum_{q} e_q \left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\alpha} \right)_{V-A} \end{split}$$

Magnetic-Penguins

$$\mathcal{O}_{7}^{\gamma} = \frac{e}{8\pi^{2}}m_{b}\bar{s}_{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(1+\gamma_{5})b_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{G} = \frac{g}{8\pi^{2}}m_{b}\bar{s}_{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(1+\gamma_{5})T_{\alpha\beta}^{a}b_{\beta}G_{\mu\nu}^{a},$$



э

Effective Hamiltonian Operators

- If we have additional SU(2)_R group in the model, operator basis is doubled by O'_i which are the chiral conjugates of O_i.
- Also new operators $O_{11,12}$ and $O'_{11,12}$ arise with mixed chiral structure of $O_{1,2}$ and $O'_{1,2}$

$$\begin{aligned} &O_{11}^{U} = \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} u_{\beta}\right)_{V-A} \left(\bar{u}_{\beta} b_{\alpha}\right)_{V+A}, & O_{12}^{U} = \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A} \left(\bar{u}_{\beta} b_{\beta}\right)_{V+A}, \\ &O_{11}^{c} = \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} c_{\beta}\right)_{V-A} \left(\bar{c}_{\beta} b_{\alpha}\right)_{V+A}, & O_{12}^{c} = \left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A} \left(\bar{c}_{\beta} b_{\beta}\right)_{V+A}, \end{aligned}$$



• Wilson Coefficients ($\mu = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$):

$$\begin{array}{ll} C_1^q = -0.443, & C_1^{q\prime} = C_1^q \zeta_g \lambda_q^{RR} / \lambda_q^{LL} \\ C_2^q = 1.224, & C_2^{q\prime} = C_2^q \zeta_g \lambda_q^{RR} / \lambda_q^{LL} \\ C_3 = 0.023, & C_4 = -0.045, & C_5 = 0.012, & C_6 = -0.064 \\ C_7 = 0.008\alpha, & C_8 = 0.064\alpha, & C_9 = -1.403\alpha, & C_{10} = 0.482\alpha \\ C_7^{\gamma} = -0.385 - 17.07A^{tb}, & C_7^{\gamma\prime} = -17.07A^{ts*} \\ C_8^G = -0.175 - 7.506A^{tb}, & C_8^{G\prime} = -7.506A^{ts*} \\ C_{11}^{\prime\prime} = 0.623A^{\prime\prime\prime} s^*, & C_{12}^{\prime\prime\prime} = 0.881A^{\prime\prime\prime} s^*. \end{array}$$

where

$$\lambda_q^{AB} \equiv V_{qs}^{A*} V_{qb}^B, \qquad A^{tD} = \xi_g \frac{V_{tD}^R}{V_{tD}^L} e^{i\alpha_\circ} \ (D = b, s)$$



B N A B N

 It is convenient to express the one-loop matrix elements of H_{eff} in terms of the tree-level matrix elements of the effective operators:

$$< sqar{q}|\mathcal{H}_{eff}|B> = -rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_t^{LL}\sum_{i=1}^{10}C_i^{eff} < sqar{q}|O_i|B>^{tree} + (C_iO_i
ightarrow C_i'O_i'),$$

with the effective WCs

$$\begin{split} c_1^{eff(\prime)} &= c_1^{(\prime)}, \quad c_2^{eff(\prime)} = c_2^{(\prime)}, \quad c_3^{eff(\prime)} = c_3^{(\prime)} - \frac{1}{N_c} c_g^{(\prime)}, \quad c_4^{eff(\prime)} = c_4^{(\prime)} + c_g^{(\prime)} \\ c_5^{eff(\prime)} &= c_3^{(\prime)} - \frac{1}{N_c} c_g^{(\prime)}, \quad c_6^{eff(\prime)} = c_4^{(\prime)} + c_g^{(\prime)}, \quad c_7^{eff(\prime)} = c_7^{(\prime)} + c_\gamma^{(\prime)}, \quad c_8^{eff(\prime)} = c_8^{(\prime)} + c_\gamma^{(\prime)} \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lll} C_{g}^{(\prime)} & = & -\frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_{t}^{LL}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} C_{2}^{q(\prime)} \mathcal{I}(m_{q},k,m_{b}) + 2 C_{8}^{G(\prime)} \frac{m_{b}^{2}}{k^{2}} \right] \\ C_{\gamma}^{(\prime)} & = & -\frac{\alpha_{s}}{3\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_{t}^{LL}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} (C_{1}^{q(\prime)} + \frac{1}{N_{c}} C_{2}^{q(\prime)}) \mathcal{I}(m_{q},k,m_{b}) + C_{7}^{\gamma(\prime)} \frac{m_{b}^{2}}{k^{2}} \right] \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{I}(m, k, \mu) = 4 \int_0^1 dx (1 - x) \ln \left[\frac{m^2 - k^2 x (1 - x)}{\mu^2} \right]$$

⇒ Two different CP even phases arise!



• Diagrams for penguin-induced $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$ decays





• Various topological diagrams* for $B \rightarrow M1M2$ decays



T: the color allowed external W emission tree diagram;

- C: the color-suppressed internal W emission diagram;
- E: the W-exchange diagram; A: the W-annihilation diagram;
- P: the penguin diagram; V: the vertical W-loop diagram

*bollowed from arXiv:0901.4396



Two body hadronic B decays Factorization approximation

 Factorization approximation for the matrix elements of the operators using the vacuum insertion method (Gaillard and Lee, Phys. Rev. D 10 897 (1974)):

> $< M_1 M_2 |\mathcal{H}_{eff}|B > = Z_1 < M_1 |J_1^{\mu}|0 > < M_2 |J_{1\mu}'|B >$ $+ Z_2 < M_2 |J_2^{\mu}|0 > < M_1 |J_{2\mu}'|B >$ $+ Z_3 < M_1 M_2 |J_3^{\mu}|0 > < 0 |J_{3\mu}'|B >$

 From Lorentz invariance one can obtain the decomposition of the hadronic matrix element in terms of decay constants and formfactors (Bauer and Wirbel, Z. Phys. C. 42 671 (1989)) → The relevant decay constants and form factors can be obtained from various leptonic and semi-leptonic decay experiments, respectively.

Factorization approximation

• Consider the matrix element of the operator O_6 for the process $B^- \rightarrow \phi K^{*-}$:

$$<\phi K^{*-} |O_{6}|B^{-} > = \frac{1}{N_{c}} <\phi |\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}s|0> < K^{*-} |\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})b|B^{-} >$$

+
$$\underbrace{2 <\phi K^{*-} |\bar{s}(1+\gamma_{5})u|0> < 0|\bar{u}\gamma_{5}b|B^{-} > }_{ > }$$

annihilation contribution, usually neglected in FA

- "Annihilation contribution" to decay rates may be small (or may not, depending on specific decay modes), but could be important in *CP* asymmetry because it contains *strong* phases! ⇒ We need to reduce "hadronic uncertainty" before considering any "new physics".
- *CP* violating asymmetry originates from the superposition of *CP*-odd(violating) phases in CKM matrix and *CP*-even(conserving) phases.



• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

Two body hadronic B decays Form Factors

- Decay Constants
 - Pseudoscalar: $< P(p) |\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 q'| 0 > = if_P p^{\mu}$
 - Vector: $< V |\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q| 0 >= f_V m_V \epsilon_{\mu}^*$
- Form Factors

$$P \to P: \\ \langle P_{1}(p_{1})|\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}b|B(p_{B})\rangle = \left[(p_{B} + p_{1})_{\mu} - \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{1}^{2}}{q^{2}}q_{\mu} \right] F_{1}(q^{2}) + \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{1}^{2}}{q^{2}}q_{\mu}F_{0}(q^{2}), \quad (F_{1}(0) = F_{0}(0))$$

$$P \to V: \\ \langle V(p_{V})|V_{\mu} - A_{\mu}|B^{0}(p_{B})\rangle = -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\nu*}p_{B}^{\alpha}p_{V}^{\beta}\frac{2V(q^{2})}{(m_{B}+m_{V})} - i\left(\epsilon_{\mu}^{*} - \frac{\epsilon^{*}\cdot q}{q^{2}}q_{\mu}\right)(m_{B} + m_{V})A_{1}(q^{2}) + i\left((p_{B} + p_{V})_{\mu} - \frac{(m_{B}^{2} - m_{V}^{2})}{q^{2}}q_{\mu}\right)(\epsilon^{*} \cdot q)\frac{A_{2}(q^{2})}{m_{B}+m_{V}} - i\frac{2m_{V}(\epsilon^{*}\cdot q)}{q^{2}}q_{\mu}A_{0}(q^{2}), \\ (2m_{V}A_{0}(0) = (m_{B} + m_{V})A_{1}(0) - (m_{B} - m_{V})A_{2}(0))$$

$$V \to V: \\ P_{B}^{\mu} < V_{1}V_{2}|\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}(1 \pm \gamma_{5})q'|_{0} > = (\epsilon_{1}^{*} \cdot \epsilon_{2}^{*})P_{B}^{2}V_{1}(P_{B}^{2}) - (\epsilon_{2}^{*} \cdot p_{1})(\epsilon_{1}^{*} \cdot p_{2})V_{2}(P_{B}^{2}) \pm i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{1\mu}^{*}\epsilon_{1\nu}^{*}p_{1\alpha}P_{2\beta}A(P_{B}^{2})$$

B N 4 B N

Image: A matrix

Two body hadronic B decays Form Factors

- There have been numerous efforts to obtain the decay constants and the form factors (or hadronic matrix elements) theoretically in various ways.
- Decay Constants: Lattice QCD (quenched and/or unquenched QCD), QCD Sum Rules, Light-front QCD (LQCD), Chiral Perturbation Theory (χ PT), etc...
- Form Factors: Monopole(dipole) approximation, QCD-improved Factorization (QCDF), Perturbative QCD (pQCD, aka k_T factorization), Soft-Collinear Effective Theory (SCET), Light-Cone Sum Rules (LCSR, QCD Sum Rules), etc...



Matrix Elements

• Example of $B \rightarrow PP$ transitions

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \pi^{0} K^{-}) &= \frac{G_{F}}{2} \Biggl\{ \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL}(a_{1} + \rho_{u}^{\pi} a_{11}) + \frac{3}{2} \lambda_{t}^{LL}(a_{7} - a_{9}) \right] X^{(BK, \pi)} \\ &+ \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL}(a_{2} + a_{12}) - \lambda_{t}^{LL} \left(a_{4} + a_{10} + 2\rho_{s}^{K}(a_{6} + a_{8}) \right) \right] X^{(B\pi, K)} \\ &+ \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL}(a_{2} - a_{12}) - \lambda_{t}^{LL} \left(a_{4} + a_{10} + 2\rho_{s}^{B}(a_{6} + a_{8}) \right) \right] X^{(B\pi, K)} \Biggr\} \\ &+ (a_{i} \to -a_{i}'), \\ \mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \pi^{-} \bar{K}^{0}) &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \Biggl\{ -\lambda_{t}^{LL} \left[a_{4} - \frac{1}{2} a_{10} + 2\rho_{s}^{K} \left(a_{6} - \frac{1}{2} a_{8} \right) \right] X^{(B\pi, K)} \\ &+ \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL}(a_{2} - a_{12}) - \lambda_{t}^{LL} \left(a_{4} + a_{10} + 2\rho_{s}^{B}(a_{6} + a_{8}) \right) \right] X^{(B, \pi K)} \Biggr\} \\ &+ (a_{i} \to -a_{i}'), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \chi^{(BK,\pi)} &=& -\sqrt{2} < \pi^{0} |\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}u|0> <\bar{K}^{0}|\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}b|\bar{B}^{0}> =& if_{\pi}F_{0}^{B\to\kappa}(m_{\pi}^{2})(m_{B}^{2}-m_{K}^{2}), \\ \chi^{(B\pi,K)} &=& +\sqrt{2} <\bar{K}^{0}|\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}d|0> <\pi^{0}|\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}b|\bar{B}^{0}> =& if_{K}F_{0}^{B\to\pi}(m_{K}^{2})(m_{B}^{2}-m_{\pi}^{2}), \\ \chi^{(B,\pi K)} &=& +\sqrt{2} <\pi^{0}\bar{K}^{0}|\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}d|0> <0|\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}b|\bar{B}^{0}> =& if_{B}F_{0}^{\pi K}(m_{B}^{2})(m_{K}^{2}-m_{\pi}^{2}), \\ \rho_{q}^{H} &\equiv& \frac{m_{H}^{2}}{m_{b}m_{q}}\left(H=\pi,K,B,\ q=u,s\right) \end{array}$$

KiSTi

ヨト・イヨト

Matrix Elements

• Example of $B \rightarrow PV$ transitions

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \pi^{0} K^{*-}) &= \frac{G_{F}}{2} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{u}^{LL}(a_{1} + \rho_{u}^{\pi} a_{11}) + \frac{3}{2} \lambda_{l}^{LL}(a_{7} - a_{9}) \end{bmatrix} X_{-}^{(BK^{*},\pi)} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{u}^{LL}(a_{2} + a_{12}) - \lambda_{l}^{LL}(a_{4} + a_{10}) \end{bmatrix} X_{-}^{(B\pi,K^{*})} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{u}^{LL}(a_{2} - a_{12}) - \lambda_{l}^{LL}(a_{4} + a_{10}) \end{bmatrix} X_{-}^{(B\pi,K^{*})} \\ &+ 2\lambda_{l}^{LL} \rho_{s}^{B}(a_{6} + a_{8}) X_{+}^{(B,\piK^{*})} \end{bmatrix} + (a_{i} \to a_{i}', X_{\mp} \to X_{\pm}), \end{split} \\ \mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \pi^{-} \bar{K}^{*0}) &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ -\lambda_{l}^{LL} \left(a_{4} - \frac{1}{2} a_{10} \right) X_{-}^{(B\pi,K^{*})} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{u}^{LL}(a_{2} - a_{12}) - \lambda_{l}^{LL}(a_{4} + a_{10}) \end{bmatrix} X_{-}^{(B,\piK^{*})} + 2\lambda_{l}^{LL} \rho_{s}^{B}(a_{6} + a_{8}) X_{+}^{(B,\piK^{*})} \right\} \\ &+ (a_{i} \to a_{i}', X_{\mp} \to X_{\pm}), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} X^{({\cal B}{\cal K}^*,\pi)}_{\pm} & = & \pm\sqrt{2} < \pi^0 |\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 u| 0 > < \bar{\cal K}^{*0} |\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}(1\pm\gamma_5)b| \bar{B}^0 > = & 2f_{\pi}m_{{\cal K}^*}(\epsilon\cdot\rho_{\pi})A^{{\cal B}\to{\cal K}^*}_0(m_{\pi}^2), \\ X^{({\cal B}\pi,{\cal K}^*)}_{\pm} & = & -\sqrt{2} < \bar{\cal K}^{*0} |\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu} d| 0 > < \pi^0 |\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}b| \bar{B}^0 > = & 2f_{{\cal K}^*}m_{{\cal K}^*}(\epsilon\cdot\rho_{\pi})F^{{\cal B}\to{\pi}}_1(m_{{\cal K}^*}^2), \\ X^{({\cal B},{\cal K}^*)}_{\pm} & = & \mp\sqrt{2} < \pi^0 \bar{\cal K}^{*0} |\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}(1\pm\gamma_5)d| 0 > < 0 |\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 b| \bar{B}^0 > = & 2f_{{\cal B}}m_{{\cal K}^*}(\epsilon\cdot\rho_{\pi})A^{\pi{\cal K}^*}_0(m_{{\cal B}}^2). \end{array}$$

KiSTi

► 4 3 4

-

Image: A matrix

Matrix Elements

• Example of $B \rightarrow PV$ transitions

$$\mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \rho K^{-}) = \frac{G_{F}}{2} \left\{ \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL} a_{1} - \frac{3}{2} \lambda_{l}^{LL} (a_{7} + a_{9}) \right] X_{-}^{(BK,\rho)} + \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL} (a_{2} - a_{12}) - \lambda_{l}^{LL} (a_{4} + a_{10}) \right] \left[X_{-}^{(B\rho,K)} + X_{-}^{(B,\rhoK)} \right] + 2\lambda_{l}^{LL} (a_{6} + a_{8}) \left[\rho_{s}^{\kappa} X_{+}^{(B\rho,K)} + \rho_{s}^{B} X_{+}^{(B,\rhoK)} \right] \right\} + (a_{i} \to a_{i}^{\prime}, X_{\mp} \to X_{\pm}),$$
(1)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \rho^{-}\bar{K}^{0}) &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ -\lambda_{l}^{LL} \left(a_{4} - \frac{1}{2} a_{10} \right) X_{-}^{(B\rho,K)} + \left[\lambda_{v}^{LL} (a_{2} - a_{12}) - \lambda_{l}^{LL} (a_{4} + a_{10}) \right] X_{-}^{(B,\rhoK)} \\ &+ 2\lambda_{l}^{LL} \left[\rho_{s}^{K} \left(a_{6} - \frac{1}{2} a_{8} \right) X_{+}^{(B\rho,K)} + \rho_{s}^{B} (a_{6} + a_{8}) X_{+}^{(B,\rhoK)} \right] \right\} \\ &+ (a_{l} \to a_{l}^{\prime}, X_{\mp} \to X_{\pm}), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} X^{(BK,\rho)}_{\pm} &=& \sqrt{2} < \rho |\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}u|0 > < \bar{K}^{0}|\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}b|\bar{B}^{0} > = 2f_{\rho}m_{\rho}(\epsilon \cdot p_{K})F_{1}^{B \to K}(m_{\rho}^{2}), \\ X^{(B\rho,K)}_{\pm} &=& \mp\sqrt{2} < \bar{K}^{0}|\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}d|0 > < \rho |\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}(1\pm\gamma_{5})b|\bar{B}^{0} > = 2f_{K}m_{\rho}(\epsilon \cdot p_{K})A_{0}^{B \to \rho}(m_{K}^{2}), \\ X^{(B,\rho K)}_{\pm} &=& \mp\sqrt{2} < \rho \bar{K}^{0}|\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}(1\pm\gamma_{5})d|0 > < 0|\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}b|\bar{B}^{0} > = 2f_{B}m_{\rho}(\epsilon \cdot p_{K})A_{0}^{\rho K}(m_{B}^{2}) \end{array}$$



Matrix Elements

• The decay $B \rightarrow V_1 V_2$ is described by the amplitude

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{p}) \rightarrow V_{1}(\mathcal{p}_{1}, \varepsilon_{1})V_{2}(\mathcal{p}_{2}, \varepsilon_{2})) = \mathcal{A}_{0} \varepsilon_{1}^{*} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}^{*} + \mathcal{A}_{1} (\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{p}_{2})(\varepsilon_{2}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{p}_{1}) + i\mathcal{A}_{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \varepsilon_{1\alpha}^{*} \varepsilon_{2\beta}^{*} \mathcal{p}_{1\gamma} \mathcal{p}_{2\delta}$

The three helicity amplitudes can be rewritten in the transversity basis as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{L} &= -x\mathcal{A}_{0} - m_{1}m_{2}(x^{2}-1)\mathcal{A}_{1}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\parallel} = -\sqrt{2}\mathcal{A}_{0} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\perp} &= -\sqrt{2}m_{1}m_{2}\sqrt{x^{2}-1}\mathcal{A}_{2}, \qquad x \equiv \frac{p_{1}\cdot p_{2}}{m_{1}m_{2}} \end{aligned}$$

In the LRM ,

$$\mathcal{A}(B \to V_1 V_2) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_q^{LL} \left[C_{\pm}^{I} X_{\pm}^{(BV_1, V_2)} + C_{\pm}^{A} X_{\pm}^{(B, V_1 V_2)} \right] \Rightarrow |\mathcal{A}(B \to V_1 V_2)|^2 = |\mathcal{A}_L|^2 + |\mathcal{A}_{\perp}|^2 + |\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}|^2$$

In the helicity basis,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{0} &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} \left[t_{2}m_{2}(m_{B}+m_{1}) \left(C_{-}^{I} - C_{+}^{I} \right) A_{1}(m_{2}^{2}) - t_{B}m_{B}^{2} \left(C_{-}^{A} + C_{+}^{A} \right) V_{1}(m_{B}^{2}) \right] \\ \mathcal{A}_{1} &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} \left[-\frac{2t_{2}m_{2}}{m_{B}+m_{1}} \left(C_{-}^{I} - C_{+}^{I} \right) A_{2}(m_{2}^{2}) + t_{B} \left(C_{-}^{A} + C_{+}^{A} \right) V_{2}(m_{B}^{2}) \right] \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} \lambda_{q}^{LL} \left[-\frac{2t_{2}m_{2}}{m_{B}+m_{1}} \left(C_{-}^{I} + C_{+}^{I} \right) V(m_{2}^{2}) + t_{B} \left(C_{-}^{A} - C_{+}^{A} \right) A(m_{B}^{2}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

 \Rightarrow Right-handed contribution can enhance A_{\perp} and A_{\parallel} .



B 1 4 B 1

Two body hadronic B decays Matrix Elements

• Example of $B \rightarrow VV$ transitions

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \rho K^{*-})_{H} &= \frac{G_{F}}{2} m_{B}^{2} \bigg\{ f_{\rho} \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL} a_{1}^{H} - \frac{3}{2} \lambda_{l}^{LL} (a_{7}^{H} + a_{5}^{H}) \right] F_{H}^{B \to \kappa^{*}} \\ &+ f_{K^{*}} \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL} (a_{2}^{H} - a_{12}^{H}) - \lambda_{l}^{LL} \left(a_{4}^{H} + a_{10}^{H} \right) \right] F_{H}^{B \to \rho} \\ &+ f_{B} \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL} (a_{2}^{H} - a_{12}^{H}) - \lambda_{l}^{LL} \left(a_{4}^{H} + a_{10}^{H} - 2\rho_{H}\rho_{s}^{B} \left(a_{6}^{H} + a_{8}^{H} \right) \right) \right] F_{H}^{\rho K^{*}} \bigg\}, \\ \mathcal{A}(B^{-} \to \rho^{-} \bar{K}^{*0})_{H} &= \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} m_{B}^{2} \bigg\{ - f_{K^{*}} \lambda_{l}^{LL} \left(a_{4}^{H} - \frac{1}{2} a_{10}^{H} \right) F_{H}^{B \to \rho} \\ &+ f_{B} \left[\lambda_{u}^{LL} (a_{2}^{H} - a_{12}^{H}) - \lambda_{l}^{LL} \left(a_{4}^{H} + a_{10}^{H} - 2\rho_{H}\rho_{s}^{B} \left(a_{6}^{H} + a_{8}^{H} \right) \right) \right] F_{H}^{\rho K^{*}} \bigg\}, \end{split}$$

where

$$a_i^H = a_i + p_H a_i', \quad p_L = p_{||} = -1 \text{ and } p_{\perp} = 1$$



→ ∃ →

Summary

- For a given effective weak Hamiltonian, there are two important issues in the study of the hadronic matrix elements for nonleptonic decays of heavy mesons: One is the renormalization scale and scheme dependence of the matrix element, and the other is the nonfactorizable effect.
- Factorization approach is well poised to becoming a useful theoretical tool in studying nonleptonic B decays in many(not all) decays.
- Predictive power of the factorization approaches comes from universality of nonperturbative inputs, such as meson wave functions.
- One should reduce "hadronic uncertainty" before considering any "new physics".

